

# Proposed Electricians (Scotland) Bill

## Introduction

A proposal for a bill to provide for the protection of title and registration of electricians. The consultation runs from 19 August to 10 November 2020. All those wishing to respond to the consultation are strongly encouraged to enter their responses electronically through this survey. This makes collation of responses much simpler and quicker. However, the option also exists of sending in a separate response (in hard copy or by other electronic means such as e-mail), and details of how to do so are included in the member's consultation document. Questions marked with an asterisk (\*) require an answer. All responses must include a name and contact details. Names will only be published if you give us permission, and contact details are never published – but we may use them to contact you if there is a query about your response. If you do not include a name and/or contact details, we may have to disregard your response. Please note that you must complete the survey in order for your response to be accepted. If you don't wish to complete the survey in a single session, you can choose "Save and Continue later" at any point. Whilst you have the option to skip particular questions, you must continue to the end of the survey and press "Submit" to have your response fully recorded. Please ensure you have read the consultation document before responding to any of the questions that follow. In particular, you should read the information contained in the document about how your response will be handled. The consultation document is available here: [Consultation Document](#) [Privacy Notice](#)

I confirm that I have read and understood the Privacy Notice attached to this consultation which explains how my personal data will be used

## About you

Please choose whether you are responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation. Note: If you choose "individual" and consent to have the response published, it will appear under your own name. If you choose "on behalf of an organisation" and consent to have the response published, it will be published under the organisation's name.

on behalf of an organisation

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

*No Response*

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

Commercial organisation (company, business)

**Optional: You may wish to explain briefly what the organisation does, its experience and expertise in the subject-matter of the consultation, and how the view expressed in the response was arrived at (e.g. whether it is the view of particular office-holders or has been approved by the membership as a whole).**

We are a London based electrical firm offering a national service to varied clients from Water plants to Aerospace and Ecclesiastical facilities.

Please choose one of the following:

I am content for this response to be published and attributed to me or my organisation

Please provide your name or the name of your organisation. (Note: the name will not be published if you have asked for the response to be anonymous or "not for publication". Otherwise this is the name that will be published with your response).

SavvySpaces

## Aim and approach

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of the proposed Bill?

Neutral (neither support nor oppose)

**Please explain the reasons for your response.**

The bill starts from the false premise that the existing scheme providers are not complicit in the preservation of the existing system. The 'voluntary' governing bodies of the current system will do everything and I do mean everything to prevent this proposal from having any real effect.

The current model adopted for example by the NICEIC is one of 'qualified supervisor', this model survives on the basis of 1, yes just one qualified person in a company, that company may have 10, 100 or 1000 people carrying out Electrical work, in some extreme cases there will be less than 10% of such employees that are actually qualified and even fewer competent.

Q2. What do you think would be the main practical advantages of the proposed Bill?

As it stands, the Bill needs massive work to be even remotely useful.

Q3. What do you think would be the main practical disadvantages of the proposed Bill?

The existing bodies and all their associates need to be actively excluded from influence in every manner.

Vested interests will kill anything worthy in this Bill

Q4. Which of the following best expresses your view of the establishment of a single register for electricians?

Fully supportive

**Please give any views or comments on a single register and how it might be set up**

I am and as a company we are fully supportive of a single register for electricians with the strict provision that it is not run, owned, operated by an entity with anything whatsoever to do with any of the current bodies.

You need to actively define

Q4. Which of the following best expresses your view of the establishment of a single register for electricians?

Qualified  
Competent  
Registered

The definitions need to expressly apply to individuals and not companies, entities or agencies.

Q5. Which of the following best expresses your view of establishing protection of title for electricians?

Fully supportive

**Please give any views or comments either on the principle or practical operation of protection of title:**

You need help on this from some of the 'Good Guys'

Dave Watts - Sparky Ninja  
John Peckham - Astute Technical services  
John Ward - JW

Look up these people, you will find hard truths and invaluable assistance with little vested interest.

## Financial Implications

Q6. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have on:

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
<b>(a) Government and the public sector</b>	X					
<b>(b) Businesses</b>	X					
<b>(c) Individuals</b>		X				

**Please explain the reasons for your response**

To set up and operate a meaningful register will require significant investment and job creation for the government.

Businesses and individuals will be hit too however, being in both categories I can say I do not object to the costs of good regulation.

We would save on annual registration with the existing bodies.

Q7. Are there ways in which the Bill could achieve its aim more cost-effectively (e.g. by reducing costs or increasing savings)?

No, looking for savings here will turn a poor Bill in to a complete waste of time.

Triple your budget - Do it once, Do it right.

## Equalities

Q8. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on equality, taking account of the following protected characteristics (under the Equality Act 2010): age, disability, gender re-assignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation?

Unsure

**Please explain the reasons for your response.**  
I cant think how this may impact on Equalities Act.

Q9. In what ways could any negative impact of the Bill on equality be minimised or avoided?

Avoid at all costs involving any of the existing registration bodies, be very aware of their wide ranging interests.

## Sustainability

Q10. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably, i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impacts?

Yes

**Please explain the reasons for your response.**  
It's impact if done correctly will be positive.  
  
Some companies may go bust but they are the problem.

## General

Q11. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal?

Do it once. Do it right. Do not compromise with existing bodies.